

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,282	10/19/2001	Catherine A. Morley	17310-239350 9624	
25764 75	90 05/13/2003			
FAEGRE & B	ENSON LLP ARGO CENTER	EXAMIN		NER
90 SOUTH 7TH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			AHMED, SHAMIM	
MINNEAFOLIS, MIN 33402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
			DATE MAILED: 05/13/2003	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		A9				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/040,282	MORLEY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this	Shamim Ahmed	1765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 C	October 2001 .					
	s action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) $igotimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 October 2001</u> is/are: a) $igoplus$ accepted or b) $igotimes$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15)∐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5. 	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/040,282 Page 2

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 14 recites the limitation "the relative etch rate" in line 3 is indefinite because it is unclear whether the etch rate is relative to masked and unmasked areas or relative to the mask and the substrate.

REMARKS

In the following rejections, claim 14 is treated as the relative etch rate of the etched feature is the relative etch rat between the masked and the unmasked areas.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1765

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-3,8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pasco (5,846,442).

Pasco discloses a single step, partial etching process to provide a contoured feature by applying a patterned photoresist mask with a plurality of openings and mask land features to a substrate, wherein the widths and spacing of the mask land features are selected in such that the etch depth in the transition area such as corner, fillet radius, slope or rounded edge is less than the etch depth to an adjacent or partially etched area of the substrate (see abstract, col.3, lines 63-col.4, lines 60).

As to claim 13, Pasco also teaches that the widths and spacing of the plurality of mask openings and masked land areas are selected in such that the etched features having transition areas with reduced corner rounding (see figure 1D).

As to claim 15, Pasco discloses that the partial etching process can be used to fabricate head suspension assembly (col.5, lines 11-23).

So, Pasco inherently teaches the substrate comprises steel.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

0,282 Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/040,282

Art Unit: 1765

8. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pasco (5,846,442) as applied to claims 1-3,8-15 above, and further in view of Dautartas et al (5,935,451).

Pasco discussed above in the paragraph 6 but remain silent about the diameter of the mask land areas in the range of about 10-100 microns.

However, in a method of etching a substrate, Dautartas et al teach that the distance between etched portions or the average distance between the mask land areas is 10 micrometer (microns), but would vary depending upon the etchant (col.2, lines 46-63).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of claimed invention to combine Dautartas et al's teaching into Pasco's process for quickly and economically form etched features with predetermined distance there between by controlling the spacing between the mask as taught by Dautartas et al.

As to claims 5-6, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of claimed invention to optimize the same, since it has been held that discovering the optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cathey et al (6,423,239) disclose an etching process, wherein etching is performed through a circular mask and the size and spacing of the mask

Application/Control Number: 10/040,282

Art Unit: 1765

Page 5

opening and mask land areas are controlled; Brock et al (5,362,584) disclose a lithographic masking process in order to form etched structure with contour features.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shamim Ahmed whose telephone number is (703) 305-1929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu (7:00-5:30) Every Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Utech can be reached on (703) 308-3836. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Shamim Ahmed Examiner Art Unit 1765

SA May 12, 2003

> BENJAMIN L. UTECH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Am 12